68th Legislature 2023 SB 169.1

1	SENATE BILL NO. 169		
2	INTRODUCED BY G. HERTZ, J. ESP, B. BROWN, B. USHER, C. FRIEDEL, J. ELLSWORTH		
3			
4	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT GENERALLY REVISING PUNITIVE DAMAGES LAWS;		
5	PROVIDING THAT A PUNITIVE DAMAGES AWARD BE EQUALLY DIVIDED BETWEEN THE INJURED		
6	PARTY AND THE STATE; ESTABLISHING THE STATEWIDE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM ACCOUNT IN		
7	THE STATE SPECIAL REVENUE FUND; REQUIRING PUNITIVE DAMAGES THAT ARE AWARDED TO THE		
8	STATE TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE STATEWIDE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM ACCOUNT; AND		
9	AMENDING SECTION 27-1-221, MCA."		
10			
11	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:		
12			
13	NEW SECTION. Section 1. Statewide public defender system account established. (1) There is		
14	a statewide public defender system account in the state special revenue fund provided for in 17-2-102.		
15	(2) There must be paid into the account any punitive damages awarded to the state pursuant to		
16	27-1-221.		
17	(3) Money deposited in the account is subject to appropriation by the legislature and may be used		
18	only to fund the statewide public defender system established under Title 47, chapter 1.		
19			
20	Section 2. Section 27-1-221, MCA, is amended to read:		
21	"27-1-221. Punitive damages liability proof award. (1) Subject to the provisions of 27-1-220		
22	and this section, reasonable punitive damages may be awarded when the defendant has been found guilty of		
23	actual fraud or actual malice.		
24	(2) A defendant is guilty of actual malice if the defendant has knowledge of facts or intentionally		
25	disregards facts that create a high probability of injury to the plaintiff and:		
26	(a) deliberately proceeds to act in conscious or intentional disregard of the high probability of injury		
27	to the plaintiff; or		
28	(b) deliberately proceeds to act with indifference to the high probability of injury to the plaintiff.		



2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

68th Legislature 2023 SB 169.1

1 (3) A defendant is guilty of actual fraud if the defendant:

- (a) makes a representation with knowledge of its falsity; or
- 3 (b) conceals a material fact with the purpose of depriving the plaintiff of property or legal rights or 4 otherwise causing injury.
 - (4) Actual fraud exists only when the plaintiff has a right to rely <u>upon on</u> the representation of the defendant and suffers injury as a result of that reliance. The contract definitions of fraud expressed in Title 28, chapter 2, do not apply to proof of actual fraud under this section.
 - (5) All elements of the claim for punitive damages must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. Clear and convincing evidence means evidence in which there is no serious or substantial doubt about the correctness of the conclusions drawn from the evidence. It is more than a preponderance of evidence but less than beyond a reasonable doubt.
 - (6) Liability for punitive damages must be determined by the trier of fact, whether judge or jury.
 - (7) (a) Evidence regarding a defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth is not admissible in a trial to determine whether a defendant is liable for punitive damages. When the jury returns a verdict finding a defendant liable for punitive damages, the amount of punitive damages must then be determined by the jury in an immediate, separate proceeding and be submitted to the judge for review as provided in subsection (7)(c). In the separate proceeding to determine the amount of punitive damages to be awarded, the defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth must be considered.
 - (b) When an award of punitive damages is made by the judge, the judge shall clearly state the reasons for making the award in findings of fact and conclusions of law, demonstrating consideration of each of the following matters:
 - (i) the nature and reprehensibility of the defendant's wrongdoing;
 - (ii) the extent of the defendant's wrongdoing;
 - (iii) the intent of the defendant in committing the wrong;
- 25 (iv) the profitability of the defendant's wrongdoing, if applicable;
- 26 (v) the amount of actual damages awarded by the jury;
- 27 (vi) the defendant's net worth;
- 28 (vii) previous awards of punitive or exemplary damages against the defendant based upon-on the



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

68th Legislature 2023 SB 169.1

1 sam	e wro	ngful	act;
-------	-------	-------	------

2	(viii)	potential or prior criminal sanctions against the defendant based upon on the same wrongful
3	act; and	

- (ix) any other circumstances that may operate to increase or reduce, without wholly defeating, punitive damages.
- (c) The judge shall review a jury award of punitive damages, giving consideration to each of the matters listed in subsection (7)(b). If after review the judge determines that the jury award of punitive damages should be increased or decreased, the judge may do so. The judge shall clearly state the reasons for increasing, decreasing, or not increasing or decreasing the punitive damages award of the jury in findings of fact and conclusions of law, demonstrating consideration of each of the factors listed in subsection (7)(b).
- (8) The judge shall divide the punitive damage award equally between the injured party and the state and shall enter judgment accordingly. The award to the state must be deposited in the statewide public defender system account established in [section 1].
- (8)(9) This section is not intended to alter the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure governing discovery of a defendant's financial affairs, financial condition, and net worth."

NEW SECTION. Section 3. Codification instruction. [Section 1] is intended to be codified as an integral part of Title 47, chapter 1, part 1, and the provisions of Title 47, chapter 1, part 1, apply to [section 1].

19 - END -

